



Thinking Europe in the 20th century: Transformations of Borders. *Historia Europeana*, 10.04.2013-11.04.2013.

Reviewed by Petr Svoboda
Published on H-Soz-u-Kult (May, 2013)

Thinking Europe in the 20th century: Transformations of Borders

The conference was organized by the association *Historia Europeana*, which was founded by doctoral students of the History Department of the Masaryk University, Brno. It was a follow-up of a conference on “Rethinking Europe in the 20th century: Europe divided / integrated” that took place in April 2012. While the first focused on conflict and cooperation, the second dealt with transformations, changes and shifts of borders in the geopolitical but also in the social, cultural and economical sense. The aim was to follow the formation and demise, move and overcrossing of borders, in East Central Europe in an international context. It was sought to grasp the changeability of borders and how the changes had been perceived by the concerned societies.

In the opening JIŘÍ MALÍŘ (Brno) and VLADIMÍR GONĚC (Brno) emphasized the importance of the topic by giving examples of fruitful approaches and by providing a broad historical background.

The first panel under the heading “The formation of Borders in Central and Eastern Europe” started with a paper on the Ukrainian case by ŠTEFÁNIA KOVÁČOVÁ (Prešov). She discussed ideas of Ukrainian politicians about the creation of an integrated independent Ukraine and traced how the political unification became a priority in the Ukrainian national movement in the years 1917-1921. TOMÁŠ ŘEPA (Brno) continued the topic with a presentation on “The Transfers of Nations of Eastern Europe after the Second World War and their Impact on the Movement of Banderovci”, being mainly concerned with the ethnic situation. DENIS ŽERNOV (Prague) returned to the Ukraine speaking about the historical reasons for the differences between the West and the East parts of

the country, and highlighting the cultural and mental borders which shape contemporary Ukrainian identities.

The second panel was devoted to Poland. AGATA MATYSIOK (Opole) looked at the “The Polish – Slovak Border in Polish Historiography” being particularly interested in how conflicts settled in the first half of the 20th century were interpreted. PAWEŁ MARKIEWICZ (Kraków) introduced reactions of Polish-Americans to the handling of the Polish Question 1939-1945, specifically to Franklin D. Roosevelt’s statements on the territorial integrity and geography of Poland during WW II. Markiewicz recognized three different lobby groups, amongst them pro-leftist Poles who were quickly regarded as most closely representing the interests of all Polish-Americans. That is insofar interesting as they claimed that the post-war Polish state had no authority over territory in the East – a position similar to Stalin’s view on the issue. JAROSLAV KADLEC (Brno) offered another case study, namely on Roman Stanisław Dmowski and his view on the future Polish frontiers that was guided by the conception of so-called ‘Great Poland’ which included parts of the Principality of Teschen, at that time claimed by Czechoslovakia. Dmowski was a Polish politician, statesman, and chief ideologue and co-founder of the right-wing National Democracy (Endecja) movement in interwar Poland. He played a major role in World War I as a spokesman for Poland, through his Polish National Committee (KNP) to the Allies in Paris. Though a controversial personality throughout his life, Dmowski was instrumental in restoring Poland’s independence. The last paper “Hlučín, Hlubčice and Ratiboř Regions in Czechoslovak-Polish Relations 1945-1947” by

ALEŠ BINAR (Brno) discussed the role of Upper Silesia employing a concept that stresses the linkage between regionalization and diplomacy.

Panel 3 “At the Periphery. Where are Borders of Europe?” contained presentations on borders on the move. JANA MUSILOVÁ (Brno) spoke on “The Emergence of the Republic of Turkey: the Transformation, Secularization, Modernization and the Influence of the West” and showed in how many dimensions – political, legal, economic and even cultural – the border of the new state shifted. MUSILOVÁ was one of the few to take a comparative perspective by contextualizing her case with the Swiss way (Civil Code 1926), the Italian one (Criminal Code) and the German (Commercial Code). BENJAMIN TALLIS (Prague) drawing on Sarah Green’s (2009) border concept presented the finding of his ethnographic fieldwork that aims to identify “The Moveable East: Lines, Traces and Tidemarks in the Borderscapes of the Enlarged EU and the Eastern Neighbourhood”. The last two papers dealt with Norway. JIŘÍ POKORNÝ (Brno) argued that whether a country could be considered to be part of a larger geopolitical entity has not only to do with its geographical location, but also with the public support of such an affiliation. JITKA JINDŘÍŠKOVÁ’s (Brno) paper “The Vietnam War in the Norwegian Culture – A Cross-border Issue” highlighted the role of the youth protest movement in how the War was perceived.

TIBOR DOHNANEC (Prešov) opened the panel on borders that divide and unite with a talk on “The Town divided by borderline. The Life of Komarno from the end of WWI to the 1st July 1919”. Since the Danube was considered to be a natural border between Czechoslovakia and Hungary, the town of Komarno should have been split. This solution was confirmed by the Trianon Treaty which confirmed the division of the city by state border. The unsuccessful attempt of the Slovakian Germans who tried to create an independence state on territory of the Spiš district in 1918 was by presented by TOMÁŠ BANDŽUCH (Prague). He evaluated the effects of this attempt on the new Czechoslovakia state and the German irredentist movement. MICHAL ŠKERLE (Brno) spoke on “The Transformation of Borders in Czechoslovak Legislation after February 1948” thus bringing the legal dimension in, including the consequences for the permeability of the border. The perception of the newly created territory was discussed by ONDŘEJ HAVÁČ (Brno) in his paper “Home Abroad: Czechs in Vienna – in the front of the Iron Curtain”. Emphasis was put on how people, who were forced (or decided) to leave their homeland after the Soviet invasion in 1968 appropriated their new home. MARTIN

HROMEK (Brno) offered the reversed perspective in his paper on “Frenchmen in Czechoslovakia in 1963 and the Symbolism of Visits of Personalities in International Relations”. He was concerned mainly with the influence of visits of French intellectuals (J. P. Sartre, R. Geraudy) and others like Maurice Bokanowski on the foreign cultural policy of Czechoslovakia.

“Real and Fictive Borders of Southeastern Europe” were discussed in the next panel. PETAR BAGARIĆ (Zagreb) contributed with a piece on “Competing for Control over the City of Pula 1945-1947: The Struggle between Italy, Yugoslavia and Allied Military Government”. Bagarić followed the shifting belongings of the city during the first Yugoslav occupation, the Allied military occupation and its final allocation to Yugoslavia in regard to the economic and political developments in the Pula. MILAN BALABAN (Banja Luka) engaged with “Changes of Borders after WWI and its Influence on Economic Exchange among newly Emerged Countries of Former Austro-Hungary”. At the center was the Czech industry previously integrated in an empire of 50 millions inhabitants, but since the foundation of Czechoslovakia struggling with the fact that it had been cut off from goods and raw materials. UROŠ LAZAREVIĆ provided insights into Yugoslavian imaginations of state borders describing expressions of the hope for the unity of the South Slavs from 1918 until the dissolution of the Yugoslav state. ANAMARIJA LUKIĆ (Osijek) ended the panel with talk on “Catholic Parishes of northern Slavonia and southern Baranja between two Dioceses” tracing how these parishes were incorporated at the formation of the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenians and developed in the context of the change of the state border until *de iure* incorporation to the dioceses of Dakovo or Bosnia and Srijem in 1972.

The last panel saw HANA HLAVÁČOVÁ (Prague) and VLADIMÍR NAXERA (Pilsen) who presented the various positions of Slovenia in Central Europe being first part of the Austro-Hungarian Empire, then belonging to the kingdom of Yugoslavia which was later transformed into a socialistic Republic, and finally becoming a sovereign state and member of the EU. JANA HRABCOVÁ (Brno) illuminated border disputes between Italy and Yugoslavia as one of the problems of European international politics during the Interwar Period. She mapped the development of border dispute to show how it was influenced by the Great Powers and the Allies and analyzed its impact on international politics in Europe. Finally MARTIN MEJSTRÍK (Prague) talked about the “Free Territory of Trieste and its Strategic Role at the Beginning of the

Cold War”, pointing at the creation of the zones A and B under the administration of the UN Security Council.

Overall the conference dealt with core streams of contemporary history: international and political questions, economic history and cultural history, while also being devoted to ideas, struggles for power and situations of crisis, again issues that are of today’s concern. More particularly the causes, impacts and influences of border changes over the course of the 20th century were discussed, and it was hoped that with the gained insights a more comprehensive image of modern European history can be achieved.

Conference Overview

Štefánia Kováčová (University of Presov): The Formation of Ukrainian Border between 1917–1921 in Interaction with Political Development

Tomáš Řepa (Masaryk University Brno): The Transfers of Nations of Eastern Europe after the Second World War and their Impact on the Movement of ‘Banderovci’

Denis Žernov (Charles University in Prague): The Ukraine – Rethinking Borders

Agata Matysiok (Opole University): The Polish – Slovak Border in Polish Historiography

Paweł Markiewicz (Jagiellonian University Krakow): The Reaction of US Polonia to the Handling of the “Polish Question,” 1939–1945

Jaroslav Kadlec (Masaryk University Brno): The Dmowski’s Line and the Teschen Question

Aleš Binar (University of Defence Brno): Hlučín, Hlubčice and Ratiboř Regions in Czechoslovak-Polish Relations 1945–1947

Jana Musilová (Masaryk University Brno): The Emerge of the Republic of Turkey: the Transformation, Secularization, Modernization and the Influence of the West

Benjamin Tallis (Anglo American University Prague): The Moveable East: Lines, Traces and Tidemarks in the Borderscapes of the Enlarged EU & the ‘Eastern Neighbourhood’

Jiří Pokorný (Masaryk University Brno): The Limits of the European Identity and Norway

Jitka Jindřišková (Masaryk University Brno): The

Vietnam War in the Norwegian Culture – A Cross-border Issue

Tibor Dohnanec (University of Presov) : The Town divided by borderline. The Life of town of Komarno from the end of WWI to the 1st of July 1919

Tomáš Bandžuch (Charles University in Prague): The Independent Republic of Spiš – an Idea of the Switzerland in the Heart of the Slovakia

Michal Škerle (Masaryk University Brno): The Transformation of Borders in Czechoslovak Legislation after February 1948

Ondřej Haváč (Masaryk University Brno): Home Abroad: Czechs in Vienna – in the front of the Iron Curtain

Martin Hromek (Masaryk University Brno): Frenchmen in Czechoslovakia in 1963. The Symbolism of Visits of Personalities in International Relations

Petar Bagarić (University of Zagreb): Competing for Control over the City of Pula 1945–1947 – the Struggle between Italy, Yugoslavia and Allied Military Government

Milan Balaban (Masaryk University Brno) and (University of Banjaluka): Changes of Borders after WWI and its Influence on Economic Exchange among newly Emerged Countries of Former Austro-Hungary

Uroš Lazarević (Charles University in Prague): Yugoslavia: After the Dream. Imaginary Borders and Future Wars

Anamarija Lukić (Ivo Pilar Osijek): Catholic Parishes of northern Slavonia and southern Baranja between two Dioceses After the liberation from the Turks the catholic parishes in northern Slavonia

Hana Hlaváčová (Metropolitan University Prague) / Vladimír Naxera (University of West Bohemia Pilsen): Slovenia and Central Europe

Jana Hrabcová (Masaryk University Brno) : Border Disputes between Italy and Yugoslavia as one of the Problems of European International Politics during the Inter-war Period

Martin Mejstřík (Charles University in Prague): Free Territory of Trieste and its Strategic Role at the Beginning of the Cold War

If there is additional discussion of this review, you may access it through the network, at:

<http://hsozkult.geschichte.hu-berlin.de/>

Citation: Petr Svoboda. Review of , *Thinking Europe in the 20th century: Transformations of Borders*. H-Soz-u-Kult, H-Net Reviews. May, 2013.

URL: <http://www.h-net.org/reviews/showrev.php?id=39177>

Copyright © 2013 by H-Net, Clio-online, and the author, all rights reserved. This work may be copied and redistributed for non-commercial, educational purposes, if permission is granted by the author and usage right holders. For permission please contact H-SOZ-U-KULT@H-NET.MSU.EDU.